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How are new technologies accepted today in regulatory agencies?

• Accepted over time

• Drug-dependent context of use
  – Original Submission
  – Labeling Updates
  – Codevelopment of drug and test

• Drug-independent context of use
  – Qualification Process for Drug Development Tools
Qualification

• Goal is to make sure that information in regulatory submissions is acceptable to regulatory agencies.

• The concept of qualification in this case is circumscribed to the requirements of regulatory review.

• Not all new technologies need to be qualified, and not all new technologies may be qualified through a drug development tool qualification regulatory process.
Guidance for Industry

Qualification Process for Drug Development Tools
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Clinical/Medical
Qualification in the Guidance

• **Definition:** A conclusion that within the stated *context of use*, the results of assessment can be relied upon to have a specific interpretation and application in drug development and regulatory decision-making.

• **Regulatory implication:** If a drug development tool is qualified,
  - Analytically valid measurements of it can be relied upon to have a specific use and interpretable meaning in drug development.
  - The qualification process is expected to expedite development of successful marketing applications.
  - If qualified for a specific context of use,
    • industry can use the drug development tool for the qualified purpose during drug development
    • CDER reviewers can be confident in applying the DDT for the qualified use without the need to reconfirm the DDT’s utility.
Context of Use

• Comprehensive statement that:
  – fully and clearly describes the manner and purpose of use for the drug development tool
  – all important criteria regarding the circumstances under which the drug development tool is qualified
  – defines the boundaries within which the available data adequately justify use
  – potential value outside these boundaries
    • data from additional studies obtained over time may be submitted to expand the qualified context of use
Context of Use

• Drug Efficacy Assessment Definition for Qualification
  – A conclusion that within the context of use of target identification and characterization, the results of the tests can be relied upon to have a specific interpretation and application in drug development.
  – Does this context of use require regulatory qualification?
    • Only if the test is to be used to make clinical decisions about a patient.
    • No explicit regulatory qualification is needed if the test is only used during early or intermediate steps in drug development.

• Drug Safety Assessment Definition for Qualification
  – A conclusion that within the context of use of drug safety assessment at a molecular level, the results of the tests can be relied upon to have a specific interpretation and application in drug development.
  – Does this context of use require regulatory qualification?
    • Yes, because the application of these tests in non clinical safety assessment regulatory review requires qualification.
      – Previous to Phase 1
      – During and after Phase 1
Drug Efficacy Assessment Definition for Qualification

- Internal decision-making within a drug company.
  - No regulatory qualification needed.
- Result triggers a drug-specific clinical decision for a patient.
  - Scenario 1
    - Companion diagnostic
  - Scenario 2
    - Clinical trial enrichment
Drug Safety Assessment
Definition for Qualification

• Internal decision-making within a drug company.
  – No regulatory qualification needed.

• Regulatory Submissions: *must redefine drug safety assessment along molecular mechanisms of toxicity*
  – Drug-dependent safety assessment
    • Very unlikely application leading to regulatory submission.
  – Drug-independent qualification
    • Consortium to show data supporting correlation between in vitro test and ranking in humans for drug models tested.
Redefinition of drug safety assessment along molecular mechanisms of toxicity

• Models and tests developed can measure specific molecular parameters associated with toxicity.

• These models do not necessarily measure pathological outcomes identical to those measured in animal models or in humans.

• Specific molecular parameters associated with toxicity may be correlated with rankings for the toxicity in humans for model drugs.
Summary of Recommendations for Qualification of In Vitro Tests

- **Efficacy applications**
  - Internal decision-making: none
  - Companion diagnostic: CDRH approval
  - Clinical study enrichment with no diagnostic application: none

- **Safety applications**
  - Internal decision-making: none
  - Nonclinical and clinical drug safety applications: qualification against a molecular pathways and mechanisms of toxicity measured in the in vitro system.
Qualification Process at CDER

Initiation → Consultation and Advice Stage → Review Stage